

Schnizer, Richard

From: Siew, Jeffrey
Sent: Sunday, November 24, 2002 5:57 PM
To: Schnizer, Richard

Richard,

I check your applications. Most are signed exc. one
but made comments to all.

stylistic comments to think about

1. I usually put an separate **summary** section before conclusion
detailing the status of claims.
e.g. no claims allowed (used when all prior art rejection)
but also noting claims rejected under 112 but free of the prior art
claims allowed
this will point applicant in direction toward allowability

2. 112 second paragraph section
I like to itemize each one 112

e.g
A) claim 12 is indefinite..

B) claim 15 is indefinite...

3. art rejections
can you put () around the references to the pages and columns
I think it might make it easier to read.

when you make a statement of what primary reference does not teach
start a new paragraph

3. citing reasons of record in paper no..

I don't really like doing this. because it requires the reviewer to dig thru everything and it is not clear what has been
sustained and what is pertinent. while it might lead to longer actions I would utilize the cut and paste to incorporate
previous rejections.
Every recent action should be able to stand on its own as to what issues are currently pending.

4. scope of enablement
make your forman factors clearly stated
I use the format

A)
B)
C)

5. I like claim trees can you include them in your actions for me

thanks

Examiner Jeffrey Siew
CM1 10B3